REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (RWRAC)
Transamerica Building
Pima Association of Governments' 5th Floor Conference Room
177 North Church Avenue
Thursday, April 16, 2009

Committee Members Present:

Adam Bliven	Jeff Biggs	Sheila Bowen
John Carlson	Marcelino Flores	Michael Gritzuk
Barbee Hanson	Rob Kulakofsky	Armando Membrila
Bill Katzel	Corey Smith	Mark Stratton
Ann Marie Wolf		

Committee Members Absent:

James Barry	Brad DeSpain

Staff Present:

Ed Curley	Harlan Agnew	Lorraine Simon
Diana Hofsdal	Manabendra Changkakoti	Charles Wesselhoft
Michael Kostrzewski	Wendy Gort	
Jeff Nichols	Mary Hamilton	
John Warner	Melaney Seacat	

Guests:
Craig Cannizzaro, URS Corporation
Melodee Loyer, Engineering Manager, City of Tucson
Claire Zucker, Watershed Planning Manager, Pima Association of Governments (PAG)

I. CALL TO ORDER. Chairman Adam Bliven called the meeting of the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Advisory Committee (RWRAC) to order at 7:52 am.

At this point, Chairman Bliven informed the Committee that James Barry has been appointed to the Committee by the Citizens' Water Advisory Committee to fill the unexpired term of John Carhuff.

Chairman Bliven then introduced William Katzel. Mr. Katzel has been appointed by District 4 Supervisor Raymond Carroll to replace John Sawyer.

Mr. Katzel introduced himself. He stated that he has been a Pima County resident for 32 years. He is a bicyclist activist and an environmental activist. He became interested in this Committee due to the recent user fee rate increase. He has testified in front of the Pima County Board of Supervisors regarding the user fee increase. He submitted a copy of his testimony and asked that it be made part of the RWRAC record. He advised that he gave the Board of Supervisors six alternatives to the user fee increase. He would like to work on alternatives with this committee.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES. The Committee unanimously approved the minutes of the March 19, 2009 RWRAC meeting.

III. COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS.

A. **Citizens' Water Advisory Committee (CWAC) Update**. The CWAC update was not presented.

IV. DISCUSSION.

A. **Old Items/Updates.**

1. **Water Infrastructure, Supply and Planning Study**. Melaney Seacat, Coordinator for the Water Study, provided an update on the activities of the Water Study's Oversight Committee. The Phase I report has been finished and can be found on the Committee's website. Due to some minor clarifications, the report will not be presented to the Pima County Board of Supervisors until May 5, 2009. The report was presented to the City of Tucson Mayor and Council Study Session on April 14, 2009. The presentation was given by Nicole Ewing-Gavin of the City of Tucson. Ms. Seacat stated that there was a lot of interest in the study by the Council and the tremendous effort put forth by the Committee was acknowledged.

Michael Gritzuk, Department Director, stated that several of the members of the Council had questions about the Regional Optimization Management Program (ROMP). He responded to them that the ROMP approach is more regulatory in nature than growth. The Mayor and Council endorsed the report.

Mr. Gritzuk said, however, that one of the Phase I Summary comments was erroneous when it stated that the current system was at or nearing capacity. The term "at capacity" was not correct and the "nearing capacity" was arguable. The total capacity of all three facilities is 81.5 million gallons per day (MGD). The current total flow to all three facilities is approximately 66 MGD. There is about 18 MGD capacity remaining in the three plants. In the upgrade and expansion of the Ina Road Facility and the new water reclamation campus the capacity will be 85 MGD. There is only 3.5 MGD of additional capacity planned for the three plants. This shows that most of ROMP is regulatory in nature. Our projected need is 85 MGD by 2030.

Ms. Seacat distributed a copy of the April 23, 2009 Water Study Committee meeting to the Advisory Committee members. This meeting will review the first three white papers being prepared as part of the Phase II scope. These papers are on drought management, maximizing reclaimed water for turf and water conservation. There are two papers on water conservation. One was completed by Val Little of Water Casa giving an external prospective. The other will be completed by internal City and County staff and presented in May.

The structure of the Phase II meetings will consist of a short 10 minute presentation keying in on the recommendations in each paper and approximately an hour for discussion and questions and answers with the Committee on the paper. The papers will be posted on-line two weeks in advance and an e-mail will go out as well to everyone on the list serve, as well as to elected officials and to Committee members. Reviewing the papers ahead of time is critical. The discussion at the meeting is where public input comes into play.

At the end of the process there will be a Committee report that summarizes the conclusions and recommendations for the Phase II study as a whole. The technical papers will be provided to the elected officials at that time. The Committee will not distribute the papers as they go, but all the papers will be on the website.

The following meeting is scheduled for May 21, 2009. Water Conservation from a City and County perspective will be presented as well as two papers related to environmental needs for water: one on storm water management and one on riparian protection. Discussion followed.

In regard to water conservation, John Carlson commented about a recent Wall Street Journal article regarding the water laws in the states of Colorado and Utah. It is illegal there to divert water from a roof to flowers, septic tank or rehab facility. This is different from the situation locally.

2. **Regional Optimization Master Plan (ROMP) Update**. Michael Gritzuk, Department Director, provided an update on the Ina Road Wastewater Reclamation Facility (WRF) upgrade/expansion, the Plant Interconnect and the Water Campus.

   - Ina Road WRF Upgrade/Expansion. At this point in time, the first elements of work are the interim biosolids facilities. The design is 90-95% complete. The Department is currently doing cost estimating to arrive at a guaranteed maximum price (GMP) for that element of the overall project. Overall, the plant design is 25% complete. There is a great deal of coordination of design between engineers and operational personnel.

   Another major activity at the Ina Road facility is cultural resources. The Phase I evaluation was recently completed, indicating significant cultural resources that need to be explored and charted. Recently there was an amendment to the existing Cultural Resources contract to go to Phase II. The initial contract was about $1.5 million. The amendment was in excess of $4 million. Currently the total cost is over $6 million.

   Another major project for Ina Road is the upgrade/replacement of the power plant. The initial approach was to upgrade, rehabilitate and modernize the entire structure. However, after meeting with various entities involved in power plant construction, it may be more cost effective to build a new power plant with modular units. He will be able to give the Committee a more specific report regarding the Department's approach once more evaluation is performed as to the proposed approach to this facility.

   - Plant Interconnect. The Department has negotiated a GMP with the contractor that has been approved by the Board of Supervisors for $25.2 million for the construction of the Plant Interconnect. The Department was successful in getting a $10 million loan for the project from the Water Infrastructure Finance Authority (WIFA) at very low interest rate of 3 3/8%. Of that $10 million there will be principle forgiveness of $2 million. Principle forgiveness can be considered a grant. We are one of the first agencies in Arizona to obtain stimulus funding. We will be issuing a Notice to Proceed (NTP) to the contractor shortly so they can start ordering pipe. Construction will begin next month.

   - Water Campus Design-Build-Operate (DBO). Advertising for Qualification Statements is currently underway. There is national and international consortium interest in this project. The Qualification Statements are due on May 1, 2009.

   Pima County Facilities Management will be constructing a solar energy project on the northern side of the water campus. The contract is nearing execution. The 1 megawatt facility will be on a 10 acre site. The Department will benefit by getting a reduced energy rate applied against the existing power at Roger Road, which is 3-4 megawatts.

   In addition, the Department is in the procurement process for its own solar project. Requests for Proposals (RFP's) have been received and are being evaluated by a selection committee. The proposals are for a thermal energy solar recovery project at

the northern end of the existing Roger Road facility. Thus, there will be solar projects on both the northern and southern ends of the campus. There is also a possibility of additional solar energy projects at Ina Road and Corona de Tucson. Discussion followed.

Mr. Carlson asked about the abandoned aggregate pit between the Roger Road facility and Ina Road facility and if the Plant Interconnect line will be able to go through it or around it.

Michael Kostrzewski said that the line will go between the frontage road and the aggregate pit. Some additional soil stabilization will have to be done.

Rob Kulakofsky asked if the right-of-way issues with a cement company regarding the Plant Interconnect have been resolved.

Mr. Gritzuk said yes. In addition, recently a right-of-way agreement with City of Tucson Water was entered into and adopted by the Board of Supervisors. Jeff Biggs added that the City Mayor and Council will also be adopting it soon.

Barbee Hanson asked if the type of pipe for the Interconnect had been resolved and what the resolution was.

Mr. Gritzuk said yes. The Department evaluated various types of pipes, narrowing it down to two types: a lined reinforced concrete pipe called T-Lock manufactured by Amaron and a polyester fiberglass pipe manufactured by HOBAS. Amaron made several presentations to the Board of Supervisors advocating the selection of their pipe. In the Department's evaluation, the HOBUS pipe will have a 100-year life. Amaron has stated that T-Lock will last 50 or more years. HOBUS pipe is slightly more expensive than T-Lock. The difference between installation and pipe cost was approximately $400,000 more for the HOBAS pipe. The Department elected to use HOBUS. Amaron protested and appeared before the Board of Supervisors. They were advised of the procedure to make a formal protest, but they did not.

Corey Smith asked about the rate of return and the cost effectiveness of the solar installation as price of the silicone substrate used in solar panels will be coming down dramatically in the next 3-5 years.

Wendy Gort, Project Manager for the Solar Project Department, said that she built a cost model for the master agreement for the solar project at Roger Road. However, that model is dependant on Tucson Electric Power rates. By taking a conservative approach, there are some savings on the 1 megawatt solar at Roger Road. It is not a lot, but savings can be shown over the 20-year life of the project. She has also built cost models for Ina, Roger and Corona de Tucson Wastewater plants. She will put the numbers from the proposals into the models to see if there is a good rate of return. The proposals will be evaluated based on cost.

Mr. Smith asked if there was an acceptance criteria threshold in terms of the cost model and if so, if the project did not meet the threshold, the project would not go forward.

Ms. Gort stated that the RFP required a clear life cycle cost benefit to the Department. If the project did not meet the threshold, the project would not go forward.

Mr. Carlson asked if the 20-year project life was based on equipment replacement and additional capitol outlay.

Ms. Gort stated that the 20-year life was set by the provider of the solar panels. After 20 years the efficiency goes down to 80 to 85%. At the end of 20 years the Department will have the option to buy the solar project and keep running it or the vendor will remove the panels at their expense.

Mr. Gritzuk suggested that the Department make a presentation to the Committee on the project and selection process.

3. **System Wide Odor Control Program Update**. John Warner, Conveyance Deputy Director, presented the Odor Control Program Update.

During the past month two chemical dosing units (CDU's) were moved to field locations. The relocation of a third CDU may require a partnership with the City of Tucson Water and the use of some of their property. The partnership will be beneficial to the community.

The OdoWatch® system deployed at the Roger Road facility is up and running. Actual operational calibration is being done with the company. There have been a couple minor problems but the company has been very responsive. He is still anticipating a visit from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sometime in May as they are very interested in the system.

In addition, they are still actively involved in the odor system design at the Ina Road facility and Plant Interconnect portions of the ROMP. Discussion followed.

Mr. Katzel stated that he has been down-wind of the Roger Road facility for 28 years. He asked if there will be zero odor emissions in the future.

Mr. Warner stated that the intent of the Department is to mitigate and minimize odor as much as possible. Wastewater facilities are odorous. There will always be a cost to get to zero emissions discharged from a wastewater plant. The internal goal is to achieve no emissions being discharged past the property boundary. Designers of the Ina Road facility are aware of the Department's goal. There has been an improvement in the Roger Road area. H_2S is the "rotten egg" smell associated with sewage. As H_2S is eliminated, other odors become more apparent. There is different technology to eliminate these emerging odors. There are still "breakthrough" odors at the Roger Road facility. The Department will continue to address and resolve issues as possible. The new water campus does take into account odor control.

Mr. Gritzuk stated that there is an odor design standard within the ROMP program for the new Ina Road facility and the replacement of the Roger Road facility. Odors will be non-detectable by the human nose around the facilities. That does not mean zero odor. In the ROMP program, $40 million of the $720 million budget is for odor control.

Mr. Carlson stated that he feels that the Department has done as much possible with the funds available. The future plans are oriented towards reducing the number of complaints.

4. **Financial Update**. Jeff Nichols, Administration and Finance Deputy Director, presented the financial update.
   - Water Infrastructure and Finance Authority of Arizona (WIFA) Loan/Grant for Plant Interconnect ("Stimulus" Funds). The stimulus funds are now being referred to as

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds. WIFA has approved our loan request. The Board of Supervisors will need to give approval to enter into the loan.

WIFA loans are reimbursement loans. Money is spent up front, then WIFA reimburses. Once reimbursement begins, the loan and interest calculation begin. WIFA has agreed to reimburse and forgive the first $2 million. WIFA's term for the first $2 million is "forgivable principle."

Additional stimulus funds are being sought for the power co-generation facility at Ina Road. Pima County's portion of allocated stimulus funds for renewable energy is not significant. The Department does not want to compete with other County Department programs, such as home weatherization, for a share of those funds.

Statewide, three projects have been funded with stimulus funds: One in Cave Creek, one in Peoria and one is ours. Arizona is moving very quickly. One of the Arizona Corporate Commissioners advised that the EPA is satisfied with Arizona's revolving fund. Projects in other states may not be run as well. WIFA feels that if other states do not use their allocation in time, the money may become available.

The Department only had $10 million in bond authorization left from the 2004 Bond Authorization. In order to work with WIFA, you must have bond authorization. WIFA uses your authorization to sell their bonds. We gave up $8 million of $10 million (as $2 million is forgivable) in bond authorization to get the loan. The County will be selling the last of the 2004 bond authorization in the next two months. Normally the bond programs are 10-year programs. The County submitted $350 million worth of needs when getting the 2004 bond authorization. That amount was reduced to $150 million. We completed the bond program in three years. That demonstrates the planning effort of the Department looking at the needs of the infrastructure, replacing Roger Road, the Plant Interconnect and the Ina Road facility. The Department will continue to look for stimulus funding. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) may have some funds available for water and wastewater projects.

Mr. Stratton asked if some of the stipulations on the stimulus funding under the federal guidelines increased some of the costs for the projects.

Mr. Nichols said yes. The increases come from federal wage requirements under the Davis-Bacon Act and the Buy American Provision. A number of the contractors were already paying prevailing wages. Those provisions add an estimated $420,000, bringing the cost from $22.5 million to $22.6 million.

Mr. Gritzuk stated even with the increase to meet the federal provisions, the low interest rate of less than 3.5% and the $2 million forgivable principle still made it very advantageous to participate.

Mr. Nichols said that the Department was originally going to get a loan from WIFA to get back into the state revolving fund program. Even with the Davis-Bacon requirements there would have been a net savings of $800,000 without the forgiven principle. Now the savings are $2.8 million.

Mr. Stratton asked what the interest rate was for the non-stimulus funds.

Mr. Nichols said that WIFA charges the Department 80% of the daily rate. On March 9, 2009 the interest rate was 3.6%. The last time the Department sold bonds on the open market it was 4.2%. 0.6% is a significant difference when dealing with the dollar amount of ROMP.

Mr. Flores stated that PAG held a meeting to talk about all non-transportation related projects. Next week there will be additional discussions regarding the energy stimulus project. It may be of interest to get an overview of what jurisdictions are doing regarding stimulus funding. There is also discussion about marketing the stimulus monies in a forum so communities can understand how this affects their constituents.

Armando Membrila asked if more than $8 million in Bond Authorization needed to be sold.

Mr. Nichols said that the Department worked with Pima County Finance (Finance) to ask WIFA for $10 million loan. Finance has already worked to sell the other bonds on the open market. Because the Department only needed $8 million, the remaining $2 million can be included in the revenue bond sale. Until there is voter authorization for additional sewer revenue bonds, the Department cannot get additional funds from WIFA.

- FY 2009/10 Budget. Finance had asked the Department to reduce the budget with a 4% across the board reduction. The Department felt that would not work with the zero-based budget as the requested amounts would not align with the justifications. The Department asked to reduce non-mandated areas, such as odor control and the summer youth program. There have been subsequent communications between the Department and Finance. The Department wants to continue the budget process in a manner that has been practiced in the past.

- 2009 Proposed Bond Election. The Bond Advisory Committee met and voted to not go forward with a 2009 General Obligation Bond. The Committee had voted unanimously in December 2008 to go forward with the 2009 Sewer Revenue Bond authorization. Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, promised the Bond Advisory Committee a white paper report on the subject. That report has been completed and sent to John Bernal, Deputy County Administrator. Mr. Bernal commented and sent the report to Mr. Huckelberry. Another report is being prepared by Finance on the same subject. The next Bond Advisory Committee meeting will be May 1, 2009 to revisit this issue.

The Department is looking for possible alternative financing that could be considered if the 2009 Bond does not go forward. The Department is looking at the entire Capitol Improvement Program (CIP)/ROMP program. The CIP is being reviewed for possible conveyance or treatment projects that do not need to go forward immediately. Discussion followed.

Mr. Katzel asked that, assuming a worst case scenario and that there is no 2009 Bond Election, will there be an impact on sewer rate and user fee charges to the general user in addition to the 43.5% increase for the nitrogen compliance?

Mr. Nichols said that the least impact to the rate payers is through the Bond issuance, even though the total cost is higher over a 15-year time period. No 2009 Bond Authorization results in much sharper increases needed in January, in addition to the 43.5% increase.

Mr. Gritzuk said that the report being worked on by Finance will be presented to the Committee once completed.

Mr. Biggs asked if there is a legal "drop dead" date for the announcement of a 2009 Bond.

Mr. Nichols said the Board of Supervisors needs to authorize a 2009 Bond election by June.

Mr. Smith asked about the budget memorandum distributed to the Committee. The line items on the last page were not related to specific items. He asked what percentage was related to odor control and what percentage was internal. It seemed like 30-40% was odor related and summer programs.

Mr. Nichols said that the majority was related to chemicals and carbon which are used in odor control. He will provide that information to the Committee.

Mr. Smith asked if the Department could specify what additional odor control treatments were anticipated against what is currently in place today to show what the budget would look like if kept steady.

Mr. Nichols said he would provide that information to the Committee.

B. New Business

1. Treatment Operational Update. Wendy Gort provided an update and PowerPoint presentation on the current status of projects in the Treatment Division.
   - Awards. For the last five years all eligible plants have received gold or silver awards from the National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA). This is a reorganization of excellence in wastewater treatment as measured by permit requirements.
   - Avra Valley Plant Expansion. The plant expansion will be completed at the end of the month. Equipment has been installed and miscellaneous items are being done, such as paving and fencing. The APP permit has not been received, but is anticipated by the end of next week.
   - Solar projects. Solar projects were already addressed.
   - Computerized Maintenance Management System. This program was started in 2007 by building the database using the Synergen system for work orders. The old way was to be 90% reactive and 10% preventative. Currently the Division is currently 50% reactive and 50% preventative. The goal is to be 10% reactive and 90% preventative. The goal is a high level of preventative maintenance.
   - Cost savings. Three recent projects completed were from employee ideas.
     - Centrate Line. The line that goes from the centrifuge to the headworks was plugged. A portion of the line was cut open and the blockage was something that was easily cleaned. Cleanout ports were installed along the line. The cost was less than $50,000.
     - Oxygen plant. There were problems with the quantity and quality of oxygen. The only option was to buy liquid oxygen to supplement the process at an additional cost of $2,300 per day. A long term fix was to replace the media an oxygen plant as they could not figure out why they could not get the capacity. An employee went online and found the original 1970 patent for the process. He discovered an adjustment in the patent that no one had ever heard of

before. The savings was about $1 million. The employee received an Employee on the Spot award.

Mr. Katzel asked for clarification of the Employee on the Spot Award.

Mr. Nichols said that his name will be included in a quarterly drawing sponsored by the director and deputy directors. The prize is $100.

- Natural Gas Transporting. The Department is currently on a sales contract with Southwest Gas. They will be switching to a natural gas transporting contract to take advantage of a $700,000 per year savings due to the current low natural gas market rate.

- Multi-Skill Program. This is a new program being implemented. Operating and maintenance employees will be cross trained. This allows the employee to control their own career development. Employees progress at their own rate based on their own motivation and career development goals. This provides significant labor cost savings and more motivated employees. There will be a pilot program at the Ina Road facility run for the fiscal year. Ina Road will be run by 28 people instead of 40.
- State of the Treatment System. Overall, they are taking care of business, showing highest quality of environmental stewardship winning awards, increasing renewable energy being used, continuing process and maintenance improvements, cost savings and planning and investing in the future.

Mr. Membrila asked if cross-trained employees receive additional pay.

Ms. Gort said that is the intent. No one will be laid off. Target staffing levels will be attained through attrition.

Mr. Gritzuk said that the program is starting on July 1. The program will be run for a year to see what savings can be achieved when compared to the approved budget for the next fiscal year. The multi-skill program is being looked at as a career development program. There are 9 skill blocks. For each block an employee achieves by demonstrating the skills, that employee gets an incremental salary increase. The employee does not have to wait for a vacancy at a higher level. There could be a significant reduction in staff. The staff reduction can be achieved with normal attrition. There will be no required loss of jobs due to ROMP or this multi-skill program.

Mr. Smith asked if the Department had 500 employees and if that equated to a 6% overall reduction – 28 people out of 500.

Mr. Gritzuk said that the multi-skill program is only applied to the treatment facility, not the entire organization.

Mr. Smith asked if the Ina Road project will be a Design/Build/Operate (DBO) project.

Mr. Gritzuk said that Ina Road is a Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) project and that Roger Road is Design/Build Operate (DBO) project.

Mr. Smith asked the number of employees at Roger Road.

Mr. Gritzuk said 51 employees. His projection for the DBO primarily due to the automation in the new facility will be approximately 20 employees.

Mr. Biggs said that Tucson Water has a multi-skilled worker program for 3-4 years. Their staff can be contacted for information as to running that program. It is a great idea and project, but it takes patience to implement and carry out.

At this point in the meeting, Chair Bliven and Vice Chair Sheila Bowen left. Mr. Stratton assumed Chair duties.

Mr. Katzel asked for clarification of the inter-jurisdictional conflict with Marana.

Mr. Gritzuk said that the Town of Marana wants to establish their own wastewater jurisdiction through a 208 Clean Water Act process. There is also ongoing litigation.

Mr. Katzel asked who the ultimate adjudication authority was.

Mr. Gritzuk said it is a 208 process under PAG.

Claire Zucker, Watershed Planning Manager, PAG, said that there is a 208 Plan for all wastewater facilities in the region. Each time there is a significant change to a wastewater facility in Pima County, PAG has to amend their Plan. The facility has to be in compliance with PAG's program to get State authorization for permits. The Town of Marana wants to be the designated management agency (DMA) for their region. Currently the only DMA's in the region are Pima County and the Town of Sahaurita. There is a scope of work task force meeting twice a month to talk about issues. They are currently talking about flows and what might happen around the borders around the Town of Marana planning area.

Mr. Katzel asked if Pima County's efficiency will be decreased if the Town of Marana gets approval.

Ms. Zucker said that if the Town of Marana gets approval to be the DMA, it will be a while before they build their facility. There would be repercussions to the neighboring facilities.

Mr. Katzel asked if the cost would be affected if Pima County and the Town of Marana were separated.

Mr. Gritzuk said that there are two facilities involved in the process. The Department has a sub-regional facility in the Town of Marana. Marana's plan calls for them to divert all the flow from that facility to their own facility. That is a matter of litigation. The Department has an outstanding debt on our investment in that facility. The other part is that they want to divert Marana flows that currently go to the Ina Road facility. If they are successful, we will just have additional capacity at Ina. Capacity is money in the bank.

Mr. Flores asked if the 208 Amendment process affected the litigation.

Ms. Zucker said yes. When the 208 process was initiated, the Regional Council voted to not look at the amendment again until the litigation is resolved.

Mr. Smith asked of the 260,000 hookups, how many are in Marana.

Mr. Gritzuk did not know the number off-hand but will get it (The number of sewer accounts billed for March 2009 was 12,562: 8,759 were billed by Tucson Water and 3,803 were billed by the Town of Marana Water Company).

Ms. Zucker said that to become a DMA, it is a designation from the Governor. It is not just a local decision. State and EPA approval is involved. PAG is a recommending authority not an approval authority.

V. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS. FY 2009/10 Budget Update; Regional Optimization Master Plan Update, including stats of Water Campus DBO; Odor Control Plan Update; Water Infrastructure, Supply and Planning Study; Federal/State Legislative Update; Treatment Operational Update, Houghton Area Master Plan Update; County Solar Project Update; Sewer Bond White Paper Report and Nominating Committee for Chair and Vice-Chair.

Mr. Katzel asked the Committee to consider moving the call to the audience from the next to the last item to the front of agenda to give respect to members of the public so they don't have to sit through the whole meeting.

VI. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE. There being no comments, Acting Chairman Stratton adjourned the meeting.

VII. ADJOURNMENT. The meeting was adjourned at 9:29 a.m.