Arid West Water Quality Research Project

Habitat Characterization Study
Final Report

Funding provided by EPA Region IX
Under Assistance Agreement XP-99926701

Directed by Pima County Wastewater Management Department

Prepared by URS Corporation, Phoenix, Arizona
and CDM, Inc., Phoenix, Arizona

December 2002

Cover photograph: Santa Cruz River Near Nogales, Arizona, Nicole Rowan, CDM, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1-1

1.1 ARID WEST WATER QUALITY RESEARCH PROJECT 1-1
1.1.1 Overview 1-1
1.1.2 WQRP Research Agenda: Establishing a Project Road Map 1-2
1.1.3 WQRP Infrastructure: Ensuring Sound Science 1-4
1.1.4 WQRP Funded-Research 1-5

1.2 WHAT CONSTITUTES THE ARID WEST? 1-6
1.2.1 Geographical Diversity 1-7
1.2.2 Regulatory Diversity 1-12

1.3 ARID WEST RIVERINE ECOSYSTEMS 1-13
1.3.1 Creation of Effluent-Dependent Waters 1-14
1.3.2 Effluent-Dependent Waters in the Arid West 1-15

1.4 HABITAT CHARACTERIZATION STUDY 1-16

1.5 REPORT ROAD MAP 1-16

2.0 PROJECT STUDY AREAS 2-1

2.1 HISTORIC DATA COLLECTION 2-1

2.2 SITE RECONNAISSANCE DATA COLLECTION 2-3

2.3 DATA ANALYSIS 2-5

2.4 STUDY AREA DESCRIPTIONS 2-7
2.4.1 Gila and Salt Rivers, Phoenix, Arizona (Appendix C, Study Area 1) 2-7
2.4.2 Santa Cruz River, Nogales, Arizona (Appendix C, Study Area 2) 2-9
2.4.3 Santa Cruz River, Tucson, Arizona (Appendix C, Study Area 3) 2-12
2.4.4 Santa Ana River, San Bernardino, California (Appendix C, Study Area 4) 2-14
2.4.5 Fountain Creek, Colorado Springs, Colorado (Appendix C, Study Area 5) 2-16
2.4.6 South Platte River, Denver, Colorado (Appendix C, Study Area 6) 2-18
2.4.7 Las Vegas Wash, Las Vegas, Nevada (Appendix C, Study Area 7) 2-21
2.4.8 Santa Fe River, Santa Fe, New Mexico (Appendix C, Study Area 8) 2-23
2.4.9 Carrizo Creek, Carrizo Springs, Texas (Appendix C, Study Area 9) 2-26
2.4.10 Crow Creek, Cheyenne, Wyoming (Appendix C, Study Area 10) 2-28

3.0 STREAM ECOSYSTEMS 3-1

3.1 CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF AN UNDISTURBED STREAM ECOSYSTEM 3-1
3.1.1 Four-Dimensional Model of Stream Ecosystems 3-1

3.2 EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATER STREAM ECOSYSTEM 3-9
3.2.1 Physical Observations 3-10
3.2.2 Water Quality Observations 3-11
3.2.3 Aquatic Biology Observations 3-28
3.2.4 Terrestrial Biology Observations 3-30

3.3 CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF AN EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATER STREAM ECOSYSTEM 3-32

3.4 EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATERS: COMMONALITIES AND DIFFERENCES 3-34

3.5 EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATERS: DISCUSSION OF SPECIFIC OBSERVATIONS 3-36
3.5.1 Hydrological and Physical Template 3-37
3.5.2 Wastewater Treatment and the Aquatic Community 3-54
3.5.3 Limiting Factors: Physical vs. Chemical 3-57
3.5.4 Chemical Characteristics of Effluent-Dependent Waters 3-60
3.5.5 Ecological Benefits of Effluent Discharge 3-63
3.5.6 Applicability of EPA Aquatic Habitat Assessment Protocols to Effluent-Dependent Waters 3-73
3.5.7 Toxicity Database Species 3-77
3.5.8 Ephemeral Waters vs. Effluent-Dependent Waters – Is Wetter Better? 3-80

3.6 SUMMARY 3-81

4.0 UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES 4-1

4.1 WESTERN WATER RIGHTS 4-1

4.2 EFFLUENT QUALITY AND THE VALUE OF WATER 4-2

4.3 POTENTIAL FOR WATER RESOURCE CONFLICTS 4-7

4.4 SUMMARY 4-8

5.0 REGULATORY SYSTEMS AND TOOLS FOR CONSIDERATION 5-1

5.1 EXISTING REGULATORY SYSTEM 5-1

5.2 ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY APPROACHES: WORKING WITHIN THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS 5-3
5.2.1 Establishment of a Designated Use Specific to Effluent-Dependent Waters 5-5
5.2.2 Implementation of Performance-Based Regulatory Approach for NPDES Permits in Effluent-Dependent Waters 5-10
5.2.3 Ecological Restoration or Rehabilitation 5-15
5.2.4 Upgrade Wastewater Treatment or Implement Habitat Improvements: Consideration of Cost Effectiveness 5-18

5.3 SUMMARY 5-19

6.0 INNOVATIONS 6-1

6.1 EMERGING INNOVATION 6-1

6.2 INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS, POLICIES, AND TOOLS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT 6-5
6.2.1 Adaptive Management 6-6
6.2.2 Habitat Conservation Plans 6-7
6.2.3 Watershed Trading 6-9
6.2.4 Ecological Risk Assessment 6-10

6.3 EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATERS: OPPORTUNITIES FOR INNOVATION 6-11

7.0 SUMMARY 7-1

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS 8-1

8.1 RESEARCH TO REFINE UNDERSTANDING OF EFFLUENT-DEPENDENT WATER ECOSYSTEMS 8-2
8.1.1 Ecosystem Studies 8-2
8.1.2 Effluent-Dependent Water Use-Specific Policies and Procedures 8-3
8.1.3 Ecosystem Evaluation Methods and Habitat Restoration Studies 8-4

8.2 ESTABLISHMENT OF A CONTINUING RESEARCH PROGRAM 8-5

8.3 INCREASE OUTREACH TO WATER QUALITY PRACTITIONERS 8-6
8.3.1 Federal Regulatory Agencies 8-6
8.3.2 States and Tribes 8-7
8.3.3 Wastewater Dischargers 8-7
8.3.4 General Public 8-8

8.4 CLOSING COMMENT 8-8

9.0 REFERENCES 9-1

10.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 10-1

10.1 ARID WEST WATER QUALITY RESEARCH PROJECT 10-1

10.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES 10-1

10.3 REGULATORY WORKING GROUP (RWG) 10-2

10.4 SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY GROUP (SAG) 10-3

10.5 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL TEAM (QAC) 10-4

10.6 RESEARCH TEAM 10-4

10.7 DOCUMENT PRODUCTION TEAM 10-5

10.8 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 10-6

10.9 SPECIAL THANKS 10-6

LIST OF APPENDICES

A Introduction to Appendices
B Methods
C Study Area Descriptions
D Data Analysis
E Stream Ecosystem Model
F Project Preference List
G Flooding Effects
H Ionic Strength Effects
I Toxicity Database
J Regulatory Touchstone
K Water Quality Standards
L NPDES Permits Summary
M Historic Aquatic Species
N Aquatic Biology Results
O Historic Terrestrial Species
P Historic Aerial Photos
Q Terrestrial Habitat Characterization
R Historic Chemical Data

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1 Arid West as Defined by Average Annual Precipitation
1-2 1872 Rain Chart of the United States
1-3 Omernik's Level III Ecoregions for the Continental United States

3-1 Biotic and Abiotic Factors Affecting Stream Productivity. Adapted from Resh et al. (1988)
3-2 Four-Dimensional Nature of Stream and River Ecosystems. Adapted from Ward (1989)
3-3 Hypothetical Relationship Between Frequency of Disturbance and Relative Representation of Species. Adapted from Townsend (1989)
3-4 Piper Diagram for the Phoenix Study Area
3-5 Piper Diagram for the Nogales Study Area
3-6 Piper Diagram for the Tucson Study Area
3-7 Piper Diagram for the Santa Ana Study Area
3-8 Piper Diagram for the Fountain Creek Study Area
3-9 Piper Diagram for the Las Vegas Study Area
3-10 Piper Diagram for the Santa Fe Study Area
3-11 Piper Diagram Showing Average Cation and Anion Concentrations for 7 of the 10 Study Areas and Non-Arid Streams
3-12 TDS Box Plots
3-13 Conductivity Box Plots
3-14 Hardness Box Plots
3-15 Alkalinity Box Plots
3-16 Dissolved Oxygen Box Plots
3-17 pH Box Plots
3-18 Ammonia Box Plots
3-19 Conceptual Model of an Effluent-Dependent Stream Ecosystem. Tendency Toward State of Physical Equilibrium and Increased Biotic Potential Assumes No Additional Anthropogenic Stressors Influence the Created Ecosystem
3-20 Mean Monthly Streamflow Downstream of WWTP Outfalls
3-21 Ratio of Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Stream Flow Upstream and Downstream of WWTP Outfalls
3-22 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Salt/Gila Rivers near Phoenix, AZ
3-23 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Santa Cruz River near Nogales, AZ
3-24 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Santa Cruz River near Tucson, AZ
3-25 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Santa Ana River near San Bernardino, CA
3-26 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Fountain Creek near Colorado Springs, CO
3-27 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – South Platte River near Denver, CO
3-28 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Las Vegas Wash near Las Vegas, NV
3-29 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Santa Fe River near Santa Fe, NM
3-30 Annual Maximum to Annual Mean Flow – Crow Creek near Cheyenne, WY
3-31 Measured Geomorphic Parameters for Habitat Characterization Areas vs. Other Western Streams (Channel Width)
3-32 Measured Geomorphic Parameters for Habitat Characterization Areas vs. Other Western Streams (Channel Slope)